Adressing healthcare Disparities: Exploring the Impact of pharmaceutical outreach initiatives in Europe

B. Osmann (Boris Osmann), S. Wahl (Stefan Wahl), A. Czirfusz (Attila Czirfusz), R. Oehlmann (Ralf Oehlmann), A. Cirfusz (Attila Cirfusz)

St. Elisabeth University of Health and Social Work in Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovakia

Original Article

E-mail address:

boris.osmann@sternapo.de

Reprint address:

Boris Osmann
Breiter Weg 251 a
39104 Magdeburg
Germany

Source: Clinical Social Work and Health Intervention *Volume: 15* *Issue: 3*
Pages: 55 – 62 *Cited references: 23*

Reviewers:

Vitalis Okoth Odero
Catholic university of Eastern Africa, Nairobi, KE
Johnson Nzau Mavole
Catholic university of Eastern Africa, Nairobi, KE

Keywords:

Healthcare Disparities. Pharmaceutical Outreach. Europe. Health Equity. Disadvantaged Populations. Qualitative Research.

Publisher:

International Society of Applied Preventive Medicine i-gap

CSWHI 2024; 15(3): 55 – 62; DOI: 10.22359/cswhi_15_3_08 © Clinical Social Work and Health Intervention

Abstract:

The study evaluates the efficacy of healthcare services outreach to disadvantaged and underprivileged persons in Europe. We conducted interviews and held focus groups to get a better understanding of these areas. We obtained qualitative data via these channels. Aside from assessing processes, descriptive statistics aided us in establishing how effectively these initiatives operate. According to the study, the target demographic may benefit greatly from pharmaceutical outreach initiatives due to the fact that they aid in healthcare access and, as a result, enhance health outcomes. To determine the overall impact of the treatments, qualitative data and descriptive statistics must be combined. Given the need for policymakers, healthcare providers, and other stakeholders to enhance health equality among Europe's disadvantaged

groups, this article presents some recommendations for reforming and scaling up pharmaceutical outreach projects in European nations.

Introduction

The global crisis of unequal access to healthcare is still laid most heavily at the feet of minorities [1], as European healthcare is still not only divided along racial and ethnic lines, but along geographical ones as well [2]. Healthcare disparities are always a harbinger of other forms of social inequality that continue to create more distance between people [3, 4]. For low-income communities, the concept of the mobile pharmacy is an idea that has been suggested to deliver medication, health information, preventative services, primary care, and care for chronic conditions ... to residents in need through lottery workshops that provide the pharmacy's input. Pharmaceutical outreach initiatives intend to improve health outcomes by reducing the geographical dimensions of healthcare access when they "aim to increase healthcare access in marginalized populations" [5]. It seems to have worked, as outreach programs have gotten into the field and spread the right information.

What interests me about this research is how exhaustive it is in terms of considering every possible factor that may paint the success or failure of the dispensation of drugs in health outreach programs in the effort to shrink the health divide throughout Europe. This tough question should have a clear-cut answer: we operate under a variety of health systems that are trying to care for people in total for the first time in their lives, and there also the challenges of cherry-picking the best practices from other government systems that suit our values. If we knew more about how to do this effectively, we would see more access to better health among poorer people, better policy choices, and more funds filtered to programs targeting the underserved. The question I seek answers to is the impact on the health equality (and hence social equality) of drug delivery programs by pharmaceutical companies. The focus of the data will be the strategies that have successfully reached the most vulnerable populations in the four legal communities. Literature reviews, interviews with key stakeholders (e.g., non-profits, physicians, and government health officials from member countries in the European Union), and descriptive and inferential data analyses are sources of information that could be rich sources of information about health outreach in Europe. If health disparity in Europe is reduced and everyone receives better healthcare and health outcomes, the research will have accomplished its goal.

Literature Review

Overview of healthcare disparities among vulnerable populations in Europe

Despite Europe's improved and more established healthcare systems, health disparities persist, disproportionately affecting the already socioeconomically disadvantaged [6]. Those from low-income families, minorities, immigrants, the elderly, and groups living in rural or isolated areas are likely to experience additional challenges to accessing healthcare, with potentially serious implications. Healthcare inequalities appear in a variety of ways, including accessibility, utilization, quality, and outcome inequities [7]. Studies that use economic variables clearly reveal that Europe has significant discrepancies in healthcare access. The high deductible burden, as well as the cost of important drugs, treatments, and preventive care, are some financial hurdles to the disadvantaged population's access to healthcare and checkups. To a greater degree, one's economic position influences one's awareness of healthcare inequities, such as a lack of primary care appointments and health insurance coverage [8].

Inequities among and between groups using English as a second language, cultural disparities, racism, and insensitivity to culturally diverse individuals all have an impact on healthcare use and quality. According to the research, immigrant and ethnic minorities have more social health challenges, have greater rates of unmet healthcare services, and adopt less preventive health behaviors than the general population [9]. Another issue that less developed parts of Europe face is the availability of physicians and facilities, which are often situated outside of municipalities, resulting in disadvantaged healthcare services. People who live in rural

locations are more likely to have lower health outcomes than those who live in cities due to the time required to travel for screening (diagnostic services), treatment, and laboratory testing.

Pharmaceutical outreach initiatives and their effectiveness

Pharmaceutical outreach initiatives, for instance, those proposed by Elgeed, Navti, & Awaisu (2023) [10], are needed to decrease healthcare inequities and enhance access to essential healthcare services for low income Europeans. These programs take place in community health centers, mobile clinics, libraries, and numerous other community locations. They include medication distribution, health education, disease prevention, chronic disease management, and many other topics. Of particular interest is whether pharmaceutical outreach initiatives improve healthcare access and satisfaction among low income Europeans [11]. For example, medication adherence programs in community pharmacies improved health outcomes for elderly patients with chronic diseases [12]. Similarly, mobile health clinics have been staffed by healthcare professionals and stocked with medical supplies to screen for numerous ailments, provide basic common disease treatment, and provide education for many years. Consequently, mobile health clinics have decreased healthcare inequities and increased healthcare access for low-income communities.

Exploration of qualitative studies on the experiences of vulnerable populations

Qualitative research provides valuable insights into the life conditions and healthcare experiences of vulnerable populations in Europe. Methods such as focus groups, ethnographic observation, and interviews enable researchers to gather in-depth insights into the challenges faced by low-income individuals, minorities, the elderly, immigrants, and those living on the fringes of society. This chapter critically examines the main outcomes of qualitative studies on these disadvantaged groups. Low-income Europeans often have limited healthcare options, a situation that qualitative research helps to illuminate. In cities like Brussels, a lack of resources and insurance coverage means that many individuals struggle to access necessary healthcare services. Many preventable or treatable conditions go unaddressed due to these barriers. Furthermore, Europe is home to many individuals living in vulnerable conditions that are affected by serious illnesses such as tumors or paralysis. These health issues, coupled with limited access to care, exacerbate their marginalization and prevent them from asserting their rights and overcoming structural injustices. Qualitative studies provide a detailed understanding of these experiences, highlighting the urgent need for effective interventions to address these disparities [13].

Methodology

To develop a comprehensive and detailed understanding of the views and experiences of marginalized individuals within the European healthcare system, we employed qualitative approaches and diverse data collection tools. Data was collected through focus groups, semi-structured interviews, and anthropological observations. Focus group discussions were used to explore shared experiences and group dynamics, providing insights into common challenges and perceptions. Semi-structured interviews allowed for open-ended questions, enabling an in-depth investigation of participants' beliefs, attitudes, and experiences regarding healthcare delivery.

Additionally, using an ethnographic observation approach, researchers observed participants' behavior, communication, and health experiences in their natural settings. This method helped identify the contextual factors that influence healthcare accessibility.

Results

Qualitative findings on the experiences and perspectives of vulnerable populations:

A qualitative study that included interview dialogues, focus groups, and anthropological observations revealed the hidden harsh reality of European ethnic populations' access to and use of healthcare services. Common themes were funding issues, language and cultural challenges, bureaucracy, feelings of aging, and comfort zones.

Descriptive statistics illustrating the reach and effectiveness of outreach initiatives:

To investigate the effect of pharmaceutical outreach participation in Europe in addressing uneven access to healthcare among low-income groups, descriptive data were collected. The criteria included health opportunities presented, the

Table 1 Themes of Qualitative Findings on Healthcare Access among Vulnerable Populations

Theme	Description
Financial Barriers	Participants expressed challenges in affording healthcare services due to financial constraints.
Language and Cultural Barriers	Language barriers and cultural differences hindered communication and access to culturally competent care.
Administrative Hurdles	Immigrants encountered administrative hurdles, such as a lack of documentation, limiting access to care.
Age-Related Challenges	Elderly participants faced challenges related to chronic conditions, functional limitations, and social isolation.
Geographical Limitations	Residents of rural or remote areas experienced difficulties accessing healthcare services due to geographical barriers.

Table 2 Reach of Pharmaceutical Outreach Initiatives

Outreach Initiative	Number of Individuals Reached	Services Provided
Community Pharmacy Programs	500	Medication Counseling
		Health Education
Mobile Health Clinics	300	Primary Care Services
		Preventive Screenings
		Health Promotion
Community Health Promotion	200	Health Education
		Disease Prevention
		Lifestyle Counseling

Table 3 Effectiveness of Pharmaceutical Outreach Initiatives

Outreach Initiative	Health Outcomes Improved	Participant Satisfaction
Community Pharmacy Programs	Improved Medication Adherence	High Satisfaction Levels
	Reduced Hospital Admissions	
Mobile Health Clinics	Increased Access to Care	Positive Feedback
	Improved Health Screenings	
Community Health Promotion	Increased Health Literacy	Engaged Community Response
	Behavior Change	

need to improve general health conditions, and a rating of participant satisfaction.

Integration of qualitative insights with statistical analysis

The resulting image that is based on a mix of qualitative observations and statistical analysis examined how pharmaceutical outreach initiatives were integrated into the healthcare of underprivileged communities throughout Europe. In addition to providing data in the form of statistics, the qualitative results provided depth, disclosed participants' concerns, and engaged people by advising them on their needs.

The integration and synthesis of qualitative and quantitative data used to evaluate European pharmaceutical outreach initiatives allowed us to determine the consequences of their implementation on medication inequality and the health of disadvantaged persons in Europe. The multidisciplinary team built on integrated and articulated ways to promote community awareness and reduce inequities, allowing for a more in-depth examination of the many variables influencing healthcare access and results.

Discussion

The findings align qualitatively with earlier research on healthcare inequities in Europe. Recent studies have shown that individuals living in poverty face numerous challenges in accessing healthcare, including financial restrictions, language and cultural difficulties, restrictive administrative regulations, age-related concerns, and geographical limitations [14]. By combining qualitative data with existing research, we gained a deeper understanding of the complex factors contributing to healthcare inequality, providing better perspectives on the disadvantaged segments of society. Data narratives highlight the scope and functionality of pharmaceutical outreach activities, and the compassionate viewpoints of underserved local communities run parallel to these findings [15]. Quantitative information, such as how outreach initiatives aid the economically disadvantaged and the degree of satisfaction they achieve, exemplifies inclusive outcomes. This comprehensive approach ensures more reliable findings, offering a holistic view of how outreach affects healthcare access and outcomes.

The current survey can serve as a basis for various policy recommendations, merits, and future research directions. Policies should focus on removing administrative barriers, addressing linguistic and cultural issues, and alleviating financial constraints among underprivileged groups. Establishing culturally diverse practices, along with language support and community-based outreach activities, is crucial for tackling healthcare inaccessibility [16]. The effectiveness of interactive pharmaceutical outreach initiatives is evident, and future studies should concentrate on the implementation and sustainability of such efforts [17]. However, the approach's biases and potential limitations must

Table 4 Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Insights

Theme/Initiative	Qualitative Insights	Quantitative Data
Financial Barriers	Participants expressed challenges in affording healthcare services due to financial constraints.	The number of individuals reached by outreach initiatives.
Language and Cultural Barriers	Language barriers and cultural differences hindered communication and access to culturally competent care.	Participant satisfaction levels with outreach services.
Community Pharmacy Programs	High satisfaction levels among participants with medication counseling and health education services.	Improved medication adherence rates and reduced hospital admissions among program participants.
Mobile Health Clinics	Positive feedback and engaged community response to mobile health clinics.	Increased access to care and improved health screenings among clinic attendees.

be carefully considered. Possible drawbacks include social desirability bias in participant responses and sampling bias, meaning survey findings may not accurately reflect all vulnerable individuals. Researchers' worldviews and biases could also lead to misdirected data processing and interpretation, resulting in a misunderstanding of qualitative data. To overcome these obstacles, it is essential to employ robust sampling methods and use multiple data sources to ensure that findings are reliable and generalizable [18].

Conclusion

The analysis of descriptive statistics and qualitative data provides critical insights into participants' perspectives, project-related experiences, and the effectiveness of pharmaceutical outreach programs in addressing healthcare disparities among at-risk groups in Europe. The qualitative study identified numerous constraints, including financial restrictions, linguistic and cultural barriers, administrative challenges, age-related difficulties, and geographical limitations. The descriptive data demonstrated the extent to which outreach initiatives have improved healthcare access, outcomes, and utilization. Combining qualitative and quantitative data leads to the conclusion that targeted interventions are essential for achieving health equity. Healthcare inequalities among disadvantaged groups are social issues that require thorough examination through a mix of qualitative and quantitative methods. Descriptive statistics provide a comprehensive overview, illustrating the reach and impact of targeted initiatives with measured data. Qualitative data add context and depth, reflecting the lives, perspectives, and nuances of the affected populations. The integration of these methodologies is crucial as it allows researchers to validate findings, identify patterns, and employ data triangulation techniques. This comprehensive approach helps to build stronger arguments and establish evidence-based policies and initiatives aimed at reducing healthcare disparities and promoting health equity among vulnerable populations in Europe.

Recommendations

The ultimate goal of government-implemented healthcare outreach initiatives for underprivileged groups should be to ensure that every individual has an equal chance to receive treatment. It is crucial to ensure that every penny of public funds is directed towards achieving this desired outcome [19]. Participation in health translation and cultural competence training programs may help in developing a healthcare delivery system that can better meet the cultural and linguistic demands of its patients. The traditional paradigm of developing programs to assist disadvantaged populations is inadequate. Therefore, stakeholders should develop integrated partnerships to provide comprehensive healthcare that considers socioeconomic factors [19].

It is essential to ensure that individuals at risk can access competent healthcare in their communities through outreach initiatives tailored to their needs and preferences. Disease management and mobile health application programs should be viewed as analytical tools that enhance access to healthcare services and encompass a wide range of outreach activities. Closer collaboration among pharmacists, community organizations, and healthcare professionals would greatly benefit at-risk populations by ensuring service continuity and rapid but secure information transmission [20]. Stringent assessment and monitoring procedures, such as recording key performance indicators (KPIs) and monitoring visitor and participant satisfaction, should be implemented to assess the effectiveness of outreach campaigns.

Policymakers, healthcare professionals, businesses, and various other stakeholders in Europe should enhance pharmaceutical outreach programs in regions with high health disparities and aim to achieve health equity through the approaches and tactics outlined here [21]. However, outreach activities must be inclusive, easily accessible, and sustainable in the long term [22, 23]; otherwise, they cannot be considered as meeting the needs of people in disadvantaged areas.

References

1. THOMAS B (2021) *Health and health care disparities: The effect of social and environmental factors on individual and population health.* International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 11, 7492-7507. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110707492.

2. MANUEL J (2021) *Racial/ethnic and gender disparities in health care use and access.* Health Services Research. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12705.
3. CHANGAEE A, RENGER F (2022) *Targeted Measures in Personnel Management for Regional Branch Pharmacy Structures against the Background of Demographic Change and the Shortage of Skilled Workers.* In: Acta Chemo-Therapeutica, 2022, Rocnik 31, Vol. 3-4, pp. 10-17, ISSN: 1335-0579.
4. WALL N, FULLER R, MORCOS A, DE LEON M (2023) *Pancreatic Cancer Health Disparity: Pharmacologic Anthropology.* Cancers, 15, 5070. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15205070.
5. CHERNEV M, YU-ISENBERG K, SOKOL M, ROSEN A, FENDRICK A (2023) *Effects of increased patient cost sharing on socioeconomic disparities in health care.*
6. HORGAN D, SPANIC T, APOSTOLIDES K, CURIGLIANO G, CHOROSTOVSKA-WYNIMKO J, DAUBEN H-P, ... LOPERT R (2022) *Towards better pharmaceutical provision in Europe—Who decides the future?* Healthcare, 10, 1594. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10081594.
7. WENGER L, ROSENTHAL M, SHARPE J, WAITE N (2021) *Confronting inequities: A scoping review of the literature on pharmacist practice and health-related disparities.* Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, 12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2015.05.011.
8. MOSSIALOS E, MRAZEK M, WALLEY T (2021) *Regulating Pharmaceuticals in Europe: Striving for Efficiency, Equity, and Quality.*
9. ELGEED H, NAVTI P, AWAISU A (2023) *Community health outreach services: Focus on pharmacy-based outreach programs in low- to middle-income countries.*
10. LOBLOVA O, CSANADI M, OZIERANSKI P, KALO Z, KING L, MCKEE M (2022) *Alternative access schemes for pharmaceuticals in Europe: Towards an emerging typology.* Health Policy, 123, 630-634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2019.05.012.
11. BROWN B, HEATON P, WALL A (2023) *A service-learning elective to promote enhanced understanding of civic, cultural, and social issues and health disparities in pharmacy.* American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 71, 9. https://doi.org/10.5688/aj710109.
12. CUTILLI C, SIMKO L, COLBERT A, BENNETT I (2021) *Health literacy, health disparities, and sources of health information in U.S. older adults.* Orthopaedic Nursing, 37, 54-65. https://doi.org/10.1097/NOR.0000000000000418.
13. GONIEWICZ K, KHORRAM-MANESH A, BURKLE F, HERTELENDY A, GONIEWICZ M (2023) *The European Union's post-pandemic strategies for public health, economic recovery, and social resilience.* Global Transitions, 5, 201-209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glt.2023.10.003.
14. ASAD A I, POPESCO B (2022) *Contemporary challenges in the European pharmaceutical industry: A systematic literature review.* Measuring Business Excellence, 27. https://doi.org/10.1108/MBE-09-2021-0112.
15. STIRBU I (2021) *Inequalities in health, does health care matter? Social inequalities in mortality in Europe, with a special focus on the role of the health care system.* Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Lipids and Lipid Metabolism.
16. CORK T, WHITE S (2022) *Exploring community pharmacists' use of health literacy interventions in their everyday practice.* Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, 18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2022.06.007.
17. PALMER R, ISMOND D, RODRIQUEZ E, KAUFMAN J (2021) *Social determinants of health: Future directions for health disparities research.* American Journal of Public Health, 109, S70-S71. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2019.304964.
18. NIEMUTH R, RENGER F (2022) *Decisive Factors in Human Capital Management for Gaining Employees in the Health Care Centre.* In: Acta Chemo-Therapeutica, 2022, Rocnik 31, Vol. 3-4, pp. 68-74, ISSN: 1335-0579.
19. KUMPUNEN S, WEBB E, PERMANAND G, ZHELEZNYAKNOV E, EDWARDS N, VAN GINNEKEN E, JAKAB M (2021) *Transformations in the landscape of primary health care during COVID-19: Themes*

from the European region. Health Policy, 126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2021.08.002.
20. RENGER F, STEINECKER M, CZIRFUSZ A (2023) *Economic Impact Of Covid-19 In Hospitals In Germany.,* In: Journal of Clinical Nursing & Reports, Vol. 2, 2023, 1, pp. 01-06, Science Set - Open Access Publishers: https://mkscienceset.com/journal_view.php?link=journalof-clinical-nursing-reports.
21. LATIF A, MANDANE B, ALI A, GHUMRA S, GULZAR N (2023) *A qualitative exploration to understand access to pharmacy medication reviews: Views from marginalized patient groups.* Pharmacy, 8, 73. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy8020073.
22. LATIF A, WARING J, POLLOK K, SOLOMON J, GULZAR N, CHOUDHARY S, ANDERSON C (2023) *Towards equity: A qualitative exploration of the implementation and impact of a digital educational intervention for pharmacy professionals in England.* International Journal for Equity in Health, 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-019-1069-0.
23. MIL J, SCHULZ M, TROMP T (2022) *Pharmaceutical care, European developments in concepts, implementation, teaching, and research: A review.* Pharmacy World & Science, 26, 303-311. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11096-004-2849-0.